

Election Reconciliation - Official Totals

County HARRISON
Registered Voters 46,452

Election Name CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS
Election Date NOV. 4, 2025

A. Early voting in person voters	2903
B. Election day in person voters	3146
C. Mail ballot voters	48
D. Provisional ballots submitted	3
E. Total voters (A+B+C+D)	6100

2. Rejected ballots	
F. Mail ballots rejected	0
G. Provisional ballots rejected	2
H. Total ballots rejected (F+G)	2

3. Counted ballots (from tabulation software)	
I. Early voting ballots counted	2903
J. Election day ballots counted	3145
K. Mail ballots counted	48
L. Provisional ballots counted	0
M. Total ballots counted (I+J+K+L)	6096

N. Difference between voters and ballots (E-H-M)	_____	O. Difference as percentage of voters (N+(E-H)*100)	_____ %
P. Explanation for difference, if any	ONE FLEEING VOTER		

Q. "I certify that the information contained in this document accurately reflects the official reconciliation of votes and voters from the above stated election"


Eddie G. Napps
Nov. 12, 2025

Presiding judge signature Print name Date

6. Mail ballots	
R. Mail ballots sent	56
S. Mail ballots not returned by voter	11
T. Mail ballots surrendered	1

7. Provisional ballots	
U. Provisional ballots deemed incomplete	1

15-1

Prescribed by Secretary of State
Sections 127.093, 127.094, 127.097(c), Texas Election Code
09/2023

EVBB

**CERTIFICATION OF SECOND TEST OF AUTOMATIC TABULATING EQUIPMENT
USED AT THE CENTRAL COUNTING STATION**

I, the Presiding Judge of the Central Counting Station do hereby certify that the second
test of the automatic tabulating equipment to be used to count the ballots for the

Constitutional Amendment Election to be held on 11 / 4 / 25
(name of election) (date of election)

was conducted using a predetermined number of valid votes for each candidate and for
and against each proposition on the ballot for the election. [Sec. 127.094]

The test was successful and was concluded at 5:00 PM on 11 / 4 / 25.
(time) (date)

Eddie Napp

Printed Name of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

Eddie Napp

Signature of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

NOTE TO PRESIDING JUDGE:

The automatic tabulating equipment may not be used to count ballots voted in the
election until the second test conducted under Section 127.093(c) is successful. If the
initial second test is unsuccessful, the Presiding Judge of the Central Counting Station
shall prepare a written record of the changes to the program, adjustments to the
equipment, and other actions taken to achieve a successful second test. The record
shall be retained with the test materials. When a test is successful, the Presiding Judge
shall certify in writing that a test was successful and the date and hour the test was
completed. The certification shall be retained with the test materials. [Sec. 127.097]

EVBB

15-3

Prescribed by Secretary of State

Sections 127.093, 127.098, Texas Election Code

09/2023

**CERTIFICATION OF THIRD TEST OF AUTOMATED TABULATING EQUIPMENT
USED AT THE CENTRAL COUNTING STATION**

I, the Presiding Judge of the Central Counting Station do hereby certify that the third
test of the automatic tabulating equipment to be used to count the ballots for the

Constitutional Amendment Election to be held on 11 / 4 / 25
(name of election) (date of election)

was conducted using a predetermined number of valid votes for each candidate and for
and against each proposition on the ballot for the election. [Sec. 127.094]

The test was successful and was concluded at 9:30 pm on 11 / 4 / 25.
(time) (date)

Eddie Nepps

Printed Name of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

Eddie Nepps

Signature of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

NOTE TO PRESIDING JUDGE:

If the initial third test conducted under Section 127.093(d) is unsuccessful, the count of ballots
voted in the election obtained with the automatic tabulating equipment is void.

If the initial third test is successful, the automatic count of ballots voted in the election is valid for
the purpose of certifying the election returns prepared at the central counting station. The
Presiding Judge shall certify in writing that the initial test was successful and the date and hour
the test was completed. The certification shall be retained with the test materials.

If the initial third test was unsuccessful, the testing authorities shall follow the procedure
prescribed by Section 127.097. When a test is successful, the ballots to be counted
automatically shall immediately be counted. Immediately on completing the automatic count, the
equipment shall again be tested, and if the initial test is successful the automatic count is valid
for the purpose of certifying the election returns. Otherwise, the automatic ballot count is void.

The procedure prescribed by 127.098(c) shall be repeated until a valid automatic count is
obtained or the testing authorities determine that obtaining a valid automatic count is
impracticable. In that case, the ballots shall be counted manually. [Sec. 127.098]

LPBB

**CERTIFICATION OF SECOND TEST OF AUTOMATIC TABULATING EQUIPMENT
USED AT THE CENTRAL COUNTING STATION**

I, the Presiding Judge of the Central Counting Station do hereby certify that the second
test of the automatic tabulating equipment to be used to count the ballots for the


Constitutional Amendment Election to be held on 11 / 12 / 25
(name of election) (date of election)

was conducted using a predetermined number of valid votes for each candidate and for
and against each proposition on the ballot for the election. [Sec. 127.094]

The test was successful and was concluded at 9:15 AM on 11 / 12 / 25.
(time) (date)

EDDIE G. NAPPS

Printed Name of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station



Signature of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

NOTE TO PRESIDING JUDGE:

The automatic tabulating equipment may not be used to count ballots voted in the election until the second test conducted under Section 127.093(c) is successful. If the initial second test is unsuccessful, the Presiding Judge of the Central Counting Station shall prepare a written record of the changes to the program, adjustments to the equipment, and other actions taken to achieve a successful second test. The record shall be retained with the test materials. When a test is successful, the Presiding Judge shall certify in writing that a test was successful and the date and hour the test was completed. The certification shall be retained with the test materials. [Sec. 127.097]

LPBB

**CERTIFICATION OF THIRD TEST OF AUTOMATED TABULATING EQUIPMENT
USED AT THE CENTRAL COUNTING STATION**

I, the Presiding Judge of the Central Counting Station do hereby certify that the third
test of the automatic tabulating equipment to be used to count the ballots for the

Constitutional Amendment Election to be held on 11 / 12 / 25
(name of election) (date of election)

was conducted using a predetermined number of valid votes for each candidate and for
and against each proposition on the ballot for the election. [Sec. 127.094]

The test was successful and was concluded at 10:00 AM on 11 / 12 / 25.
(time) (date)

EDDIE G. NAPP
Printed Name of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

Eddie G. Napp
Signature of Presiding Judge of Central Counting Station

NOTE TO PRESIDING JUDGE:

If the initial third test conducted under Section 127.093(d) is unsuccessful, the count of ballots
voted in the election obtained with the automatic tabulating equipment is void.

If the initial third test is successful, the automatic count of ballots voted in the election is valid for
the purpose of certifying the election returns prepared at the central counting station. The
Presiding Judge shall certify in writing that the initial test was successful and the date and hour
the test was completed. The certification shall be retained with the test materials.

If the initial third test was unsuccessful, the testing authorities shall follow the procedure
prescribed by Section 127.097. When a test is successful, the ballots to be counted
automatically shall immediately be counted. Immediately on completing the automatic count, the
equipment shall again be tested, and if the initial test is successful the automatic count is valid
for the purpose of certifying the election returns. Otherwise, the automatic ballot count is void.

The procedure prescribed by 127.098(c) shall be repeated until a valid automatic count is
obtained or the testing authorities determine that obtaining a valid automatic count is
impracticable. In that case, the ballots shall be counted manually. [Sec. 127.098]